

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,411	KUZNETSOVA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SARAH PIHONAK	1627	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) SARAH PIHONAK. (3) ____.

(2) MARK SWANSON. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 August 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

2-4,6-18,22-24,26,28

Prior art documents discussed:

All

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. P./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicants' attorney, Mark Swanson, was contacted regarding the allowability of claims 2-4, 6-16, 22-24, 26, and 28, as amended in the response filed on 7/19/2010. Applicants requested that withdrawn claims 17-18 be rejoined, as they have been amended to be commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims. Claims 17-18 have been rejoined; claims 2-4, 6-18, 22-24, 26, and 28 are allowed.